Approved For Release 2004/10/27: CIA-RDP79M00096A000500060019-3



Dead

NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755

Zo -3/24

Serial: N

0591

1 7 JUN 1970

25X	1
-----	---

AD/DCI/NIPE
Room 7E22, CIA Headquarters
Washington, D. C. 20505

25X1

As you have requested, I have put together some thoughts on the R&D Institute under consideration in the IHC with a view to identifying other alternatives which would have a lower resource impact. I agree that the proposed Institute submitted by the R&D Subcommittee is too

grand to fly in the current environment.

Other alternatives that I have considered include:

- a. A reduced version of the proposed Institute which might considerably be scaled down by a factor of 2 or 4 and still be a viable operation.
- b. The use of one of the Defense Department laboratories as the base from which to expand activities related to the Intelligence Community's IHC interests. The most likely candidate would be RADC. Even a 10-12 man level of activity could become a constructive force if properly directed.
- c. An agency of the Intelligence Community such as CIA or NSA could try to carry out a community program. This is difficult to achieve because of the conflict of interest.
- d. A not-for-profit group like Mitre Corporation (who incidentally are doing work in information sciences when I last checked) could also be contracted to carry out a directed program in this area.
- e. There may be some way that ARPA could be utilized although they do not have a laboratory themselves. They do have a program in the area which they carry out via contractors as I am sure you are aware.

Approved For Release 2004/10/27 : CIA-RDP79M00096A000500060019-3

Serial: N 0591

My own thought is that in a very austere resource environment the idea of tasking RADC has the most merit. I don't have any idea about the practical problems of making such arrangements.

Assistant Director, NSA for

Science and Technology

25X1